



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/570,028 | 03/01/2006 | Reiko Moriya | 127049 | 1105 |
| 25944 | 7590 | 12/31/2007 | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850 | | | EXAMINER KIDWELL, MICHELE M | |
| | | | ART UNIT 3761 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 12/31/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/570,028

Applicant(s)

MORIYA ET AL.

Examiner

Michele Kidwell

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guidotti et al. (US 6,835,192).

With reference to claim 1, Guidotti et al. (hereinafter "Guidotti) discloses an absorbent article configured to be worn by a user,

where the article has a front side corresponding to a front side of a body of the user when the article is worn by the user, and a rear side corresponding to a rear side of the body of the user when the article is worn by the user, the article including:

a first sheet leak preventer (9) extending as claimed, a second sheet leak preventer (6) disposed above an upper side of the first leak preventer and positioned over a rear part of the first leak preventer, where the second leak preventer does not substantially extend over a front part of the first leak preventer and an absorber (3) containing a super absorbent polymer (col. 6, lines 13 – 17) capable of absorbing a body fluid, and disposed above an upper side of the first preventer extending from the front part of the first leak preventer beneath the second leak preventer in at least one layer as set forth in figure 5 .

With reference to claim 2, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article in which a urine introduction part forming member (1) is bonded to a part of a lower surface of a front end of the second leak preventer as set forth in figure 5.

As to claim 3, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article further including a urine/feces stopping member (10) in a front end or in a vicinity of the front end of the second leak preventer as set forth in figures 3 – 5.

With reference to claim 4, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article in which the absorber is separated and provided on right and left sides in a front part of the first leak preventer as set forth in figure 3.

With respect to claim 5, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article in which the absorber is separated and provided on right and left sides in a rear part of the first leak preventer as set forth in figure 3.

As to claims 6 and 7, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article in which a part or entire periphery of the first leak preventer rises upward and/or in which the right and left side walls of the first leak preventer are folded inward as set forth in figures 1 – 2.

As to claim 8, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article which right and left side walls of the first leak preventer are formed into folded shape as set forth in figures 1 – 2.

Regarding claim 9, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article in which the absorber is provided in each of at least two of two or more stepped-spaces defined by the right and left side walls of the first leak preventer formed into folded shape as set forth in figures 1 – 3.

With respect to claim 10, Guidotti discloses an absorbent article in which a part or entire periphery of the second leak preventer rises upward as set forth in figures 1 – 2.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicant's argument that figure 5 of Guidotti must be considered with the lower side of figure 5 corresponding with the upper side of the presently claimed invention, the examiner maintains the current rejection.

If figure 5 of Guidotti is turned upside down, reference character 9 is still considered as the first leak preventer and reference character 6 is still considered as the second leak preventer. The absorbent 3 is disposed above the first leak preventer and the second leak preventer is above the first leak preventer in an area considered as the rear portion. The applicant argues that element 9 does not extend from the front side to the rear side as now defined, but the examiner maintains that the layer 9 in its extension as shown may be considered as extending over a front and rear side. The front and rear side of a user does not provide any structural limitation that would preclude the use of the current interpretation.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a side close to a user's body) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are

Art Unit: 3761

not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Even still, the definition of being close to the user side does not mean adjacent as it seems is being argued. The second leak preventer in the current configuration may be considered close to the user's body.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Michele Kidwell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761